Top-Cited Articles in Implant Dentistry

Anastasia Fardi, DDS, PhD¹/Konstantinos Kodonas, DDS, PhD²/ Theodoros Lillis, DDS, MSc³/Alexander Veis, DDS, PhD[†]

Purpose: Citation analysis is the field of bibliometrics that uses citation data to evaluate the scientific recognition and the influential performance of a research article in the scientific community. The aim of this study was to conduct a bibliometric analysis of the top-cited articles pertaining to implant dentistry, to analyze the main characteristics, and to display the most interesting topics and evolutionary trends. Materials and Methods: The 100 top-cited articles published in "Dentistry, Oral Surgery, and Medicine" journals were identified using the Science Citation Index Database. The articles were further reviewed, and basic information was collected, including the number of citations, journals, authors, publication year, study design, level of evidence, and field of study. Results: The highly cited articles in implant dentistry were cited between 199 and 2,229 times. The majority of them were published in four major journals: Clinical Oral Implants Research, International Journal of Oral & Maxillofacial Implants, Journal of Clinical Periodontology, and Journal of Periodontology. The publication year ranged from 1981 to 2009, with 45% published in a nine-year period (2001 to 2009). Publications from the United States (29%) were the most heavily cited, followed by those from Sweden (23%) and Switzerland (17%). The University of Göteborg from Sweden produced the highest number of publications (n = 19), followed by the University of Bern in Switzerland (n = 13). There was a predominance of clinical papers (n = 42), followed by reviews (n = 25), basic science research (n = 21), and proceedings papers (n = 12). Peri-implant tissue healing and health (24%), implant success/failures (19.2%), and biomechanical topics (16.8%) were the most common fields of study. Conclusion: Citation analysis in the field of implant dentistry reveals interesting information about the topics and trends negotiated by researchers and elucidates which characteristics are required for a paper to attain a "classic" status. Clinical science articles published in highimpact specialized journals are most likely to be cited in the field of implant dentistry. INT J ORAL MAXILLOFAC IMPLANTS 2017;32:555-564. doi: 10.11607/jomi.5331

Keywords: bibliometrics, citation analysis, implant dentistry

Beginning with Swedish orthopedic surgeon Brånemark's first reports of osseointegration and titanium dental implants, the practice of replacing missing teeth changed the dental practice.¹ Since then, research in implant dentistry has evolved at a rapid pace, and a substantial body of literature has

للاستشاران

presented significant developments. Much of the scientific contribution of Brånemark's investigations and that of other important historical advances to dental implant research is reflected in the number of received citations.

Bibliometrics is a field of quantitative science that applies mathematical and statistical methods, such as citation analysis, to evaluate the scientific recognition and the influential performance of a research article in the scientific community. Although the number of citations is not indicative of the quality or the importance of a research paper, it determines the reputation of the authors as well as the journals' impact factor.² Web of Science belongs to the Thomson Reuters Corporation and provides electronic access to the world's citation databases. Science Citation Index Expanded, which was developed by the Institute for Scientific Information (ISI), may be accessed via Web of Science Core Collection.³ Web of Science also publishes the annual Journal Citation Reports, which offers systematic means to critically evaluate the world's leading journals based on citation data.⁴

© 2017 BY QUINTESSENCE PUBLISHING CO, INC. PRINTING OF THIS DOCUMENT IS RESTRICTED TO PERSONAL US MANY, MANARAA.COM NO PART MAY BE REPRODUCED OR TRANSMITTED IN ANY FORM WITHOUT WRITTEN PERMISSION FROM THE PUBLISHER.

¹Department of Dentoalveolar Surgery, Surgical Implantology & Radiology, School of Dentistry, Aristotle University of

Thessaloniki, Greece.

²Department of Endodontology, School of Dentistry, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece.

³Department of Dentoalveolar Surgery, Surgical Implantology & Radiology, School of Dentistry, Aristotle University of

Thessaloniki, Greece.

[†]Deceased.

Correspondence to: Dr Anastasia Fardi, Department of Dentoalveolar Surgery, Surgical Implantology & Radiology, School of Dentistry, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, 56124 Thessaloniki, Greece. Email: anastasiafardi2014@gmail.com

^{©2017} by Quintessence Publishing Co Inc.

Evaluation of the academic impact of the published literature is gaining substantial interest. There have been numerous publications that have attempted to generate "citation classics," "top," or "highly" cited papers in different specialties of medical science, including cardiology,⁵ radiology,^{6,7} orthopedics,⁸ emergency medicine,⁹ neurosurgery,¹⁰ obstetrics and gynecology,¹¹ otolaryngology,^{12,13} and plastic surgery.¹⁴ Citation analyses have also been conducted to evaluate the scientific performance of authors,¹⁵ journals,^{16,17} and countries.¹⁸

Although there has been a top-citation analysis in general dentistry¹⁹; in some subspecialties of dentistry, such as orthodontics,²⁰ endodontics,²¹ and periodontology²²; and in specific dental fields such as dental traumatology²³ and human cleft lip and palate research,²⁴ no such assessment exists in the field of dental implantology. The aim of this study was to conduct a bibliometric analysis of the top-cited articles pertaining to implant dentistry published in "Dentistry, Oral Surgery, and Medicine" journals and to analyze the main characteristics covering publication year, journals, authors, countries, institutions, and field of study.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The methodology provided in the present study was based on the Science Citation Index Expanded database accessed via the Web of Science Core Collection before/and on October 30, 2015. According to Journal Citation Reports of edition year 2014, 88 journals were included under the Institute of Science Information Web of Science subject category "Dentistry, Oral Surgery and Medicine." The keyword "implant*" was searched in the topic field (including article title, abstract, author, keywords, and Key Words Plus) in Web of Science Core Collection from 1900 to October 2015. No time, language, or any other limitation was applied in the investigation. To limit the search only to relevant studies, the authors used the filter of the "front page," meaning that only articles that contain the indicated keywords on the front page, title, abstract, and author keywords were included. Two independent investigators evaluated the results and selected the 100 topcited articles dedicated to dental implant research. In case of discrepancy, consensus was reached by involvement of a third investigator. The articles were then ranked by number of citations using the option "Times cited-highest to lowest" listed on the Web of Science and were downloaded into spreadsheet software using Microsoft Excel 2010.

These articles were further reviewed with regard to publication name, number of citations, publication

year, number of authors, institution of the first author (single institution, interinstitutionally, multiuniversity, international collaborative articles), and country of the first author (for the purposes of the research, the institution and the country of the first author was considered as the country of the origin of the article). Furthermore, mean citations per year values (with reference to the year 2015) for all publications were calculated to account for the time bias that is inherent to bibliometric studies. It is known that the simple assessment of absolute citation number favors older papers and risks excluding more recent influential publications.

Each article was further analyzed, and basic information was collected, including article type (clinical research, basic science article, and review), study design, level of evidence, and field of study. Clinical study design included randomized controlled trial (RCT), cohort, case control, cross-sectional study, case series, and case reports. A basic science article was further categorized to biomechanics, in vivo (animal research), or in vitro study. The level of evidence of each article was determined based on criteria published by the Oxford Centre for Evidence–Based Medicine.²⁵ Finally, papers were characterized according to their field of study into subject areas related to dental implant research. Each publication could be assigned to one or more categories.

RESULTS

A total of 25,057 documents were identified in the initial search. Among them, 578 were cited more than 100 times. The number of citations of the 100 articles selected varied between 199 and 2,229 (Table 1).^{26–125} The mean number of citations per article was 321.

Although it is beyond the scope of this article to analyze each article separately, the subject of the most cited articles shows major trends in dental implant dentistry. The most cited paper, with 2,229 citations and with the topmost growth rate of 64 citations annually, was the study of Adell et al about the rehabilitation of the edentulous jaw using osseointegrated implants, which was published in the International Journal of Oral Surgery in 1981.²⁶ Besides the evaluation and standardization of the surgical protocol and prosthetic techniques, they observed the clinical results achieved on 895 implants for 5 to 9 years (Table 1, rank: 1). The following article, having 736 citations and a smaller mean growth rate of 39 citations per year, was a multicenter study about 2,359 ITI implants placed in 1,003 patients, published in 1997 by Buser et al in Clinical Oral Implants Research.27 One of the concluding remarks stating that "non submerged ITI implants



© 2017 BY QUINTESSENCE PUBLISHING CO, INC. PRINTING OF THIS DOCUMENT IS RESTRICTED TO PERSONAL US WMM. MANARAA.COM NO PART MAY BE REPRODUCED OR TRANSMITTED IN ANY FORM WITHOUT WRITTEN PERMISSION FROM THE PUBLISHER.

ArticleWean citationsAdell et al262,22963.68Buser et al2773638.73Marx et al2869863.45Jaffin and Berman2960524.20Esposito et al3057932.17Le Guéhennec et al3157063.33Esposito et al3255530.83Buser et al3350341.92Schropp et al3446435.69Buser et al3544917.27Quirynen and Bollen3643320.62Albrektsson and Wennerberg3741234.33Berglundh et al3840128.64Tarnow et al3940121.11Anitua4039413.66Buser et al4139115.04Albrektsson et al4239113.96Lindquist et al4337018.50Smith and Zarb4436913.67Schnitman et al4536619.26Berglundh et al4836127.78Scarfe et al4935135.10Schroeder et al5035010Miredith 5134519.17Whitman et al5234318.50Wennerberg and Albrektsson5831539.38Davies ⁵⁴ 33318.78Davies ⁵⁴ 30615.30Araujo et al6931218.35Berglundh at Lindhe ⁶¹ 30615.30Araujo et al6931218.35Berglundh at Lindhe ⁶¹ 30220.13Zarb and Schmitt ⁶⁵ 30227.73 <th>1</th> <th>e 1</th> <th>The 100 Top-Cited A Dentistry</th> <th>rticles in</th> <th>Implant</th> <th></th> <th>Table</th> <th>Table 1 The 100 Top-Cited A Dentistry Cont.</th> <th>Table 1 The 100 Top-Cited Articles in Dentistry Cont.</th>	1	e 1	The 100 Top-Cited A Dentistry	rticles in	Implant		Table	Table 1 The 100 Top-Cited A Dentistry Cont.	Table 1 The 100 Top-Cited Articles in Dentistry Cont.
Adell et al ²⁶ 2,229 63.68 51 Buser et al ²⁷ 736 38.73 52 Marx et al ²⁸ 698 63.45 53 Jaffin and Berman ²⁹ 605 24.20 54 Esposito et al ³⁰ 579 32.17 55 Buser et al ³³ 503 41.92 58 Schropp et al ³⁴ 464 35.69 59 Buser et al ³⁵ 449 17.27 60 Quirynen and Bollen ³⁶ 433 20.62 61 Albrektsson and Wennerberg ³⁷ 412 34.33 62 Buser et al ⁴¹ 391 15.04 63 Tarnow et al ³⁹ 401 28.64 63 Tarnow et al ⁴² 391 13.96 67 Lindquist et al ⁴³ 370 18.50 68 Smith and Zarb ⁴⁴ 369 13.67 69 Schroitman et al ⁴⁵ 366 19.26 71 Meredith et al ⁴⁷ 362 18.10 72 Miyawaki et al ⁴⁸ 361 27.78 73 Schroieder et al			Article	Citations	Mean citations	Ran	k	k Article	k Article Citations
Buser et al ²⁷ 736 38.73 52 Marx et al ²⁸ 698 63.45 53 Jaffin and Berman ²⁹ 605 24.20 54 Esposito et al ³⁰ 579 32.17 55 Le Guéhennec et al ³¹ 570 63.33 56 Esposito et al ³² 555 30.83 57 Buser et al ³³ 503 41.92 58 Schropp et al ³⁴ 464 35.69 59 Buser et al ³⁵ 449 17.27 60 Quirynen and Bollen ³⁶ 433 20.62 61 Albrektsson and Wennerberg ³⁷ 412 34.33 62 Buser et al ⁴¹ 391 13.96 67 Lindquist et al ⁴³ 370 18.50 68 Smith and Zarb ⁴⁴ 369 13.67 69 Schrittman et al ⁴⁵ 366 19.26 70 Berglundh et al ⁴⁶ 366 19.26 71 Miredith ⁵¹ 345 19.17 76	Ade	Ade	ell et al ²⁶	2,229	63.68	51		Cochran et al ⁷⁶	Cochran et al ⁷⁶ 275
Marx et al ²⁸ 698 63.45 53 Jaffin and Berman ²⁹ 605 24.20 54 Esposito et al ³⁰ 579 32.17 55 Le Guéhenne et al ³¹ 570 63.33 56 Esposito et al ³² 555 30.83 57 Buser et al ³³ 503 41.92 58 Schropp et al ³⁴ 464 35.69 59 Buser et al ³⁵ 449 17.27 60 Quirynen and Bollen ³⁶ 433 20.62 61 Albrektsson and Wennerberg ³⁷ 412 34.33 62 Berglundh et al ³⁴ 401 28.64 63 Tarnow et al ³⁹ 401 21.11 64 Albrektsson et al ⁴² 391 13.66 67 Lindquist et al ⁴³ 366 19.26 70 Schroithman et al ⁴⁵ 366 19.26 70 Berglundh et al ⁴⁷ 362 18.10 72 Miyawaki et al ⁴⁸ 361 27.78 73	Bus	Bus	ser et al ²⁷	736	38.73	52		Berglundh and Lindhe ⁷⁷	Berglundh and Lindhe ⁷⁷ 271
Jaffin and Berman ²⁹ 605 24.20 54 Esposito et al ³⁰ 579 32.17 55 Le Guéhenne et al ³¹ 570 63.33 56 Buser et al ³³ 503 41.92 58 Schrop et al ³⁴ 464 35.69 59 Buser et al ³⁵ 449 17.27 60 Quirynen and Bollen ³⁶ 433 20.62 61 Albrektsson and Wennerberg ³⁷ 412 34.33 62 Berglundh et al ³⁸ 401 28.64 63 Tarnow et al ³⁹ 401 21.11 66 Anitua ⁴⁰ 394 23.18 65 Buser et al ⁴¹ 391 15.04 66 Albrektsson et al ⁴² 391 13.96 67 Lindquist et al ⁴³ 370 18.50 70 68 Smith and Zarb ⁴⁴ 369 13.67 69 35.1 73 74 Scarfe et al ⁴⁹ 351 35.10 74 74 74 74 74 74 74 74 74 74 74 <td< td=""><td>Ма</td><td>Ма</td><td>rx et al²⁸</td><td>698</td><td>63.45</td><td>53</td><td>1</td><td>Zitzmann and Berglundh ⁷⁸</td><td>Zitzmann and Berglundh ⁷⁸ 269</td></td<>	Ма	Ма	rx et al ²⁸	698	63.45	53	1	Zitzmann and Berglundh ⁷⁸	Zitzmann and Berglundh ⁷⁸ 269
Esposito et al ³⁰ 579 32.17 551 Le Guéhennec et al ³¹ 570 63.33 561 Esposito et al ³² 555 30.83 571 Buser et al ³³ 503 41.92 581 Schropp et al ³⁴ 464 35.69 599 Buser et al ³⁵ 449 17.27 60 Quirynen and Bollen ³⁶ 433 20.62 61 Albrektsson and Wennerberg ³⁷ 412 34.33 62 Berglundh et al ³⁸ 401 28.64 63 Tarnow et al ³⁹ 401 21.11 64 Albrektsson et al ⁴¹ 391 13.96 67 Lindquist et al ⁴³ 370 18.50 68 Smith and Zarb ⁴⁴ 369 13.67 69 Schnitman et al ⁴⁵ 366 19.26 70 Berglundh et al ⁴⁶ 366 14.64 71 Miyawaki et al ⁴⁸ 361 27.78 73 Schroeder et al ⁵⁵ 329 15.67 80 </td <td>Jaf</td> <td>Jaf</td> <td>fin and Berman²⁹</td> <td>605</td> <td>24.20</td> <td>54</td> <td></td> <td>Buser et al⁷⁹</td> <td>Buser et al⁷⁹ 267</td>	Jaf	Jaf	fin and Berman ²⁹	605	24.20	54		Buser et al ⁷⁹	Buser et al ⁷⁹ 267
Le Guéhennec et al ³¹ 570 63.33 56 Esposito et al ³² 555 30.83 57 Buser et al ³³ 503 41.92 58 Schropp et al ³⁴ 464 35.69 59 Buser et al ³⁵ 449 17.27 60 Quirynen and Bollen ³⁶ 433 20.62 61 Albrektsson and Wennerberg ³⁷ 412 34.33 62 Berglundh et al ³⁸ 401 28.64 63 Tarnow et al ³⁹ 401 21.11 64 Anitua ⁴⁰ 394 23.18 65 Buser et al ⁴¹ 391 15.04 66 Albrektsson et al ⁴² 391 13.96 67 Sinth and Zarb ⁴⁴ 369 13.67 69 Schritman et al ⁴⁵ 366 14.64 71 Meredith ⁶¹ 366 14.64 71 Meredith ⁶¹ 350 10 75 Meredith ⁶¹ 345 19.17 76 Whitman et al ⁵	Esp	Esp	oosito et al ³⁰	579	32.17	55		Quirynen et al ⁸⁰	Quirynen et al ⁸⁰ 257
Esposito et al ³² 555 30.83 571 Buser et al ³³ 503 41.92 581 Schropp et al ³⁴ 464 35.69 591 Buser et al ³⁵ 449 17.27 601 Quirynen and Bollen ³⁶ 433 20.62 611 Albrektsson and Wennerberg ³⁷ 412 34.33 62 Berglundh et al ³⁸ 401 28.64 633 Tarnow et al ³⁹ 401 28.164 636 Albrektsson et al ⁴² 391 15.04 666 Albrektsson et al ⁴² 391 13.96 677 Lindquist et al ⁴³ 370 18.50 688 Smith and Zarb ⁴⁴ 369 13.67 699 Schnitman et al ⁴⁵ 366 19.26 70 Berglundh et al ⁴⁶ 366 14.64 71 Miyawaki et al ⁴⁸ 361 27.78 73 Scarfe et al ⁴⁹ 351 35.10 74 Schroeder et al ⁵⁰ 329 10.0 75	Le	Le	Guéhennec et al ³¹	570	63.33	56		Zitzmann et al ⁸¹	Zitzmann et al ⁸¹ 257
Buser et al ³³ 503 41.92 58 Schropp et al ³⁴ 464 35.69 59 Buser et al ³⁵ 449 17.27 60 Quirynen and Bollen ³⁶ 433 20.62 61 Albrektsson and Wennerberg ³⁷ 412 34.33 62 Berglundh et al ³⁸ 401 28.64 63 Tarnow et al ³⁹ 401 21.11 64 Anitua ⁴⁰ 394 23.18 65 Buser et al ⁴¹ 391 15.04 66 Albrektsson et al ⁴² 391 13.96 67 Lindquist et al ⁴³ 370 18.50 68 Smith and Zarb ⁴⁴ 369 13.67 69 Schnitman et al ⁴⁵ 366 19.26 70 Berglundh et al ⁴⁷ 362 18.10 74 Miyawaki et al ⁴⁸ 361 27.78 73 Scarfe et al ⁵⁰ 350 10 75 Miredith ⁵¹ 345 19.17 76 Wennerb	Esc	Esr	oosito et al ³²	555	30.83	57		Goodacre et al ⁸²	Goodacre et al ⁸² 256
Schropp et al ³⁴ 464 35.69 59 Buser et al ³⁵ 449 17.27 60 Quirynen and Bollen ³⁶ 433 20.62 61 Albrektsson and Wennerberg ³⁷ 412 34.33 62 Berglundh et al ³⁸ 401 28.64 63 Tarnow et al ³⁹ 401 21.11 64 Ahbrektsson et al ⁴² 391 15.04 66 Albrektsson et al ⁴² 391 15.04 66 Lindquist et al ⁴³ 370 18.50 68 Smith and Zarb ⁴⁴ 369 13.67 69 Schnitman et al ⁴⁵ 366 19.26 70 Berglundh et al ⁴⁶ 366 14.64 71 Meredith ⁵¹ 365 100 75 Miyawaki et al ⁴⁸ 361 27.78 73 Schroeder et al ⁵⁵ 329 15.67 80 Meredith ⁵¹ 345 19.17 74 Whrnan et al ⁵² 323 18.35 83	Bus	Bus	ser et al ³³	503	41.92	58		Del Fabbro et al ⁸³	Del Fabbro et al ⁸³ 255
Buser et al ³⁵ 449 17.27 60 Quirynen and Bollen ³⁶ 433 20.62 61 Albrektsson and Wennerberg ³⁷ 412 34.33 62 Berglundh et al ³⁸ 401 28.64 63 Tarnow et al ³⁹ 401 21.11 64 Albrektsson et al ⁴⁴ 391 15.04 66 Albrektsson et al ⁴⁴ 391 15.04 66 Albrektsson et al ⁴² 391 13.96 67 Lindquist et al ⁴³ 370 18.50 68 Smith and Zarb ⁴⁴ 366 19.26 70 Berglundh et al ⁴⁶ 366 14.64 71 Meredith et al ⁴⁷ 362 18.10 72 Miyawaki et al ⁵¹ 345 19.17 74 Schroeder et al ⁵⁰ 350 10 75 Meredith ⁵¹ 345 19.17 74 Schroeder et al ⁵⁵ 329 15.67 80 Kasemo ⁵⁶ 327 9.91 81	Sch	Sch	propp et al 34	464	35.69	59		Buser et al ⁸⁴	Buser et al ⁸⁴ 250
Quirynen and Bollen ³⁶ 433 20.62 61 Albrektsson and Wennerberg ³⁷ 412 34.33 62 Berglundh et al ³⁸ 401 28.64 63 Tarnow et al ³⁹ 401 21.11 64 Anitua ⁴⁰ 394 23.18 65 Buser et al ⁴¹ 391 15.04 66 Albrektsson et al ⁴² 391 13.96 67 67 Lindquist et al ⁴³ 370 18.50 68 7 69 13.67 69 13.67 69 13.67 69 13.67 69 13.67 69 13.67 69 14.64 71 14.64 71 14.64 72 73 14.64 71 14.64 72 73 14.55 14.64 71 14.64 74 14.64 74 14.64 74 14.64 74 14.64 74 14.64 74 14.64 74 14.64 74 14.64 74 14.64 74 14.64 74 14.64 74 14.64 74 14.64 14.64 74	Bus	Bu	ser et al ³⁵	449	17.27	60	j	Abrahamsson et al ⁸⁵	Abrahamsson et al ⁸⁵ 245
Albrektsson and Wennerberg ³⁷ 412 34.33 62 61 62 Albrektsson and Wennerberg ³⁷ 412 34.33 62 63 64 Berglundh et al ³⁸ 401 28.64 63 64 66 66 66 66 66 66 66 66 66 66 66 66 66 66 66 66 67 67 69 67 69 67 67 68 67 68 67 68 63 67 68 63 67 68 63 67 69 63 67 60 68 63	Oui	Out	rynen and Bollen ³⁶	449	20.62	61	, ,	Sánchaz at al ⁸⁶	Sánchez et al 86 244
Autoretasson and wennerberg41234.336262Berglundh et al 38 40128.6463PTarnow et al 39 40121.1164HAnitua 40 39423.1865MBuser et al 41 39115.0466CAlbrektsson et al 42 39113.9667KLindquist et al 43 36913.6769KSchnitman et al 45 36619.2670CBerglundh et al 47 36218.1072TMiyawaki et al 48 36127.7873MScarfe et al 50 3501075PMeredith 51 34519.1776KWhitman et al 52 34318.0577CJensen et al 53 33818.7878FDavies 54 33318.5079BWennerberg et al 57 32240.3883KJung et al 57 32340.3883KAraújo et al 69 31422.4386KAraújo et al 62 30011.5490MAghaloo and Moye29837.2593AIsidor 69 29314.6593AJung et al 71 2881896CCochran et al 70 29132.3395HBerglundh and Lindhe ⁶¹ 30011.5490MAphaloo an	Qui	Qu	roktopon and Wonnerherr ³⁷	403	20.02	60	0		$\frac{dllClle2}{dl} \frac{dl}{dl} \frac{dl}{dl$
bergunum et al ³² 401 28.64 63 F Tarnow et al ³⁹ 401 21.11 64 H Anitua ⁴⁰ 394 23.18 65 M Buser et al ⁴¹ 391 15.04 66 C Albrektsson et al ⁴² 391 13.96 67 K Lindquist et al ⁴³ 370 18.50 68 A Smith and Zarb ⁴⁴ 369 13.67 69 K Schnitman et al ⁴⁵ 366 19.26 70 C Berglundh et al ⁴⁷ 362 18.10 72 T Miyawaki et al ⁴⁸ 361 27.78 73 M Scarfe et al ⁴⁹ 351 35.10 74 P Schroeder et al ⁵⁰ 350 10 75 P Meredith ⁵¹ 345 19.17 76 K Whitman et al ⁵² 343 18.05 79 B Wennerberg et al ⁵⁵ 329 15.67 80 R			rektsson and wennerberg ⁵⁷	412	34.33	62	G		apski et al ⁸⁸ 240
Iarnow et al ³⁵² 401 21.11 64 H Anitua ⁴⁰ 394 23.18 65 M Buser et al ⁴¹ 391 15.04 66 Ci Albrektsson et al ⁴² 391 13.96 67 Ka Lindquist et al ⁴³ 370 18.50 68 Al Smith and Zarb ⁴⁴ 369 13.67 69 Ka Schnitman et al ⁴⁵ 366 19.26 70 Ci Berglundh et al ⁴⁷ 362 18.10 72 Te Miyawaki et al ⁴⁸ 361 27.78 73 M Scarfe et al ⁴⁹ 351 35.10 74 Pj Schroeder et al ⁵⁰ 350 10 75 Pi Meredith ⁵¹ 343 18.05 79 Ba Davies ⁵⁴ 333 18.50 79 Ba Wennerberg et al ⁵⁵ 329 15.67 80 Re Kasemo ⁵⁶ 327 9.91 81 Re Jung et al ⁵⁷ 323 40.38 83 La	вer	Bei	giunan et al ³⁹	401	28.64	03	۲J	etursson et ales	etursson et al ³⁰ 240
Anituar**39423.1865MBuser et al39115.0466CAlbrektsson et al39113.9667KLindquist et al37018.5068ASmith and Zarb ⁴⁴ 36913.6769KSchnitman et al36619.2670CBerglundh et al36127.7873MMeredith et al36127.7873MScarfe et al3501075PMeredith34519.1776KWhitman et al5234318.0577Schroeder et al501075PMeredith34519.1776KWhitman et al33318.5079BDavies5433318.5079BWennerberg et al31539.3882KWennerberg and Albrektsson31218.3585RBerglundh and Lindhe ⁶¹ 30615.3086KAraújo et al6729933.2291HAgaloo and Moy ⁶⁶ 29933.2291HManicone et al7029132.3395HJunde tal1712881896CGeng et al6728728728797PBerglundh et al7729132.2395HJung et al67299934.6594	lar	lar	now et al ³³	401	21.11	64	H	ermann et al ^{os}	ermann et al ^{og} 238
Buser et al ⁴⁴ 391 15.04 66 C Albrektsson et al ⁴² 391 13.96 67 K Lindquist et al ⁴³ 370 18.50 68 A Smith and Zarb ⁴⁴ 369 13.67 69 K Schnitman et al ⁴⁵ 366 19.26 70 C Berglundh et al ⁴⁶ 366 14.64 71 P Meredith et al ⁴⁷ 362 18.10 72 Te Miyawaki et al ⁴⁸ 361 27.78 73 M Scarfe et al ⁵⁰ 350 10 75 P Schroeder et al ⁵¹ 345 19.17 76 K Whitman et al ⁵² 343 18.05 77 C Jensen et al ⁵³ 338 18.78 78 Fe Davies ⁵⁴ 333 18.50 79 B Wennerberg and Albrektsson ⁵⁸ 315 39.38 83 L Cochran et al ⁵⁹ 314 22.43 84	Ani	Ani	tua ⁴⁰	394	23.18	65	Ń	leredith et al ⁹⁰	
Albrektsson et al ⁴² 391 13.96 67 K Lindquist et al ⁴³ 370 18.50 68 A Smith and Zarb ⁴⁴ 369 13.67 69 K Schnitman et al ⁴⁵ 366 19.26 70 C Berglundh et al ⁴⁶ 366 14.64 71 P Meredith et al ⁴⁷ 362 18.10 72 T Miyawaki et al ⁴⁹ 351 35.10 74 P Schroeder et al ⁵⁰ 350 10 75 P Meredith ⁵¹ 345 19.17 76 K Whitman et al ⁵² 343 18.05 77 C Jensen et al ⁵³ 338 18.78 78 F Davies ⁵⁴ 333 18.50 79 B Kasemo ⁵⁶ 327 9.91 81 R Jung et al ⁵⁷ 323 40.38 83 L Geng et al ⁶⁶ 302 20.13 85 R Berglundh and Lindhe ⁶¹ 306 15.30 86 K	Bus	Bus	ser et al41	391	15.04	66	C	hiapasco et al [®]	hiapasco et al ⁹¹ 236
Lindquist et al*3 370 18.50 68 Ai Smith and Zarb ⁴⁴ 369 13.67 69 Ki Schnitman et al ⁴⁵ 366 19.26 70 Ci Berglundh et al ⁴⁷ 362 18.10 72 Ti Miyawaki et al ⁴⁸ 361 27.78 73 Mi Scarfe et al ⁴⁹ 351 35.10 74 Pj Schroeder et al ⁵⁰ 350 10 75 Pi Meredith ⁵¹ 345 19.17 76 Ki Whitman et al ⁵² 343 18.05 77 Ci Jensen et al ⁵³ 338 18.78 78 Fa Davies ⁵⁴ 333 18.50 79 Bi Wennerberg et al ⁵⁵ 329 15.67 80 Ri Kasemo ⁵⁶ 327 9.91 81 Ri Jung et al ⁵⁷ 323 40.38 83 Lai Jung et al ⁵⁷ 323 40.38 83 Lai Geng et al ⁶¹ 306 15.30 86 Ki Araújo et al ⁶² 305 27.73 87 Si Berglundh and Lindhe ⁶¹ 306 15.30 89 Mi Zarb and Schmitt ⁶⁵ 300 11.54 90 Mi Aghaloo and Moy ⁶⁶ 299 33.22 93 Ai Indhe and Meyle ⁶⁸ 298 37.25 93 Ai Isidor ⁶⁹ 291 32.33 95 Hi Manicone et al ⁷⁰ 291	Alb	Alb	rektsson et al ⁴²	391	13.96	67	K	aroussis et al ⁹²	aroussis et al ⁹² 235
Smith and Zarb 44 36913.6769KSchnitman et al 45 36619.2670CBerglundh et al 47 36218.1072TMiyawaki et al 48 36127.7873MScarfe et al 49 35135.1074PSchroeder et al 50 3501075PMeredith 51 34519.1776KWhitman et al 52 34318.0577CJensen et al 53 33818.7878F6Davies 54 33318.5079BWennerberg et al 55 32915.6780RKasemo 56 3279.9181RJung et al 57 32340.3883LiJung et al 57 31422.4384ALekholm et al 60 31218.3585RBerglundh and Lindhe 61 30615.3086KAraújo et al 62 30527.7387SBerglundh et al 63 30220.1389MZarb and Schmitt 65 30011.5490MAghaloo and Moy 66 29933.2291HManicone et al 70 29132.3395HManicone et al 70 29132.3395HManicone et al 71 28623.8396CLizzara and Porter 72 28728.793A	Lin	Lin	dquist et al ⁴³	370	18.50	68	A	brektsson and Wennerberg ³³	brektsson and Wennerberg ⁹³ 233
Schnitman et al ⁴⁵ 36619.2670CBerglundh et al ⁴⁶ 36614.6471FMeredith et al ⁴⁷ 36218.1072TMiyawaki et al Scarfe et al Schroeder et al ⁵⁰ 35135.1074FSchroeder et al 	Sm	Sm	ith and Zarb44	369	13.67	69	K	lan et al ⁹⁴	an et al ⁹⁴ 233
Berglundh et al Meredith et al (Maredith et al (Maredith et al)36614.64717171Meredith et al (Miyawaki et al) 14^{77} 36218.10727373Scarfe et al (Schroeder et al)35135.1035010757576Schroeder et al (Maredith)34519.17761472777614Whitman et al (Jensen et al)34519.177614747614Wennerberg et al (Jung et al)33318.05777616Wennerberg and Albrektsson32215.67807981Jung et al (Sammos fe)31218.35831472Jung et al (Sammos fe)31218.35857982Wennerberg and Albrektsson31422.438444Lekholm et al (6031218.358576Berglundh and Lindhe (6130615.308644Araújo et al (6230527.738782Geng et al (6430220.13897074Aghaloo and Moy (6629933.229174Manicone et al (7029132.339574Manicone et al (7029132.339574Manicone et al (71288189607Manicone et al (Ca27728728.79374Manicone et al (Sch	Sch	nnitman et al ⁴⁵	366	19.26	70	(Cheng et al ⁹⁵	Cheng et al ⁹⁵ 230
Meredith et al 362 18.10 72 Miyawaki et al 14^8 361 27.78 73 13 Scarfe et al 350 351 35.10 74 14 Schroeder et al 50 350 10 75 10 Meredith 345 19.17 76 10 Whitman et al 52 343 18.05 77 61 Jensen et al 53 338 18.78 78 78 Davies 54 333 18.50 79 80 Wennerberg et al 55 329 15.67 80 82 Kasemo 56 327 9.91 81 10 Jung et al 57 323 40.38 82 10 Wennerberg and Albrektsson 58 315 39.38 83 10 Cochran et al 59 314 22.43 84 47 Lekholm et al 60 312 18.35 85 10 Berglundh and Lindhe 61 306 15.30 86 15.30 Araújo et al 62 302 23.23 88 10 Zarb and Schmitt 65 300 11.54 90 92 10 Aghaloo and Moy 66 299 33.22 91 10 Manicone et al 70 291 32.33 95 10 Manicone et al 70 291 32.33 95 10 Manicone et al 73 286 <td>Ber</td> <td>Bei</td> <td>glundh et al⁴⁶</td> <td>366</td> <td>14.64</td> <td>71</td> <td>ł</td> <td>Pjetursson et al⁹⁶</td> <td>Pjetursson et al⁹⁶ 228</td>	Ber	Bei	glundh et al ⁴⁶	366	14.64	71	ł	Pjetursson et al ⁹⁶	Pjetursson et al ⁹⁶ 228
Miyawaki et al 48 36127.7873Scarfe et al 49 35135.1074Schroeder et al 50 3501075Meredith 51 34519.1776Whitman et al 52 34318.0577Jensen et al 53 33818.7878Davies 54 33318.5079Wennerberg et al 55 32915.6780Kasemo 56 3279.9181Jung et al 57 32340.3882Wennerberg and Albrektsson 58 31539.3883Cochran et al 59 31422.4384Lekholm et al 60 31218.3585Berglundh and Lindhe 61 30615.3086Araújo et al 62 30527.7387Berglundh et al 63 30220.1389Zarb and Schmitt 65 30011.5490Aghaloo and Moy 66 29933.2291Indhe and Meyle 68 29837.2593Isidor 69 29314.6594Manicone et al 70 29132.3395Tarnow et al 71 28623.8398Lazzara and Porter 72 28728.797Botticelli et al 73 28623.8398Albrektsson 74 28623.8398	Me	Me	redith et al ⁴⁷	362	18.10	72		Teughels et al ⁹⁷	Teughels et al ⁹⁷ 228
Scarfe et al35135.1074Schroeder et al 350 1075Meredith 345 19.17 76Whitman et al 345 19.17 76Jensen et al 333 18.05 77Jensen et al 333 18.78 78Davies 333 18.78 78Davies 333 18.78 78Davies 333 18.50 79Wennerberg et al 55 329 15.67 Kasemo 327 9.91 81 Jung et al 57 323 40.38 Wennerberg and Albrektsson 312 18.35 Berglundh et al 60 312 18.35 Berglundh and Lindhe 306 15.30 Araújo et al 62 305 27.73 Berglundh et al 63 302 23.23 Geng et al 64 302 20.13 Zarb and Schmitt 90 33.22 91 Aghaloo and Moy 66 299 33.22 Isidor 69 293 14.65 Manicone et al 70 291 32.33 Isidor 69 293 14.65 Manicone et al 70 291 32.33 Tarnow et al 71 288 18 Lazzara and Porter 287 28.7 97 Botticelli et al 73 286 23.83 Albrektsson 74 285 10.18	Miy	Miy	awaki et al ⁴⁸	361	27.78	73		Mericske-Stern et al ⁹⁸	Mericske-Stern et al ⁹⁸ 228
Schroeder et al ⁵⁰ 3501075Meredith ⁵¹ 34519.1776Whitman et al ⁵² 34318.0577Jensen et al ⁵³ 33818.7878Davies ⁵⁴ 33318.5079Wennerberg et al ⁵⁵ 32915.6780Kasemo ⁵⁶ 3279.9181Jung et al ⁵⁷ 32340.3882Wennerberg and Albrektsson ⁵⁸ 31539.3883Cochran et al ⁵⁹ 31422.4384Lekholm et al ⁶⁰ 31218.3585Berglundh and Lindhe ⁶¹ 30615.3086Araújo et al ⁶² 30527.7387Berglundh et al ⁶³ 30220.1389Zarb and Schmitt ⁶⁵ 30011.5490Aghaloo and Moy ⁶⁶ 29933.2291Isidor ⁶⁹ 29314.6594Manicone et al ⁷⁰ 29132.3395Tarnow et al ⁷¹ 2881896Lazzara and Porter ⁷² 28728.797Botticelli et al ⁷³ 28623.8398Albrektsson ⁷⁴ 28510.1898	Sca	Sca	arfe et al ⁴⁹	351	35.10	74		Pjetursson et al ⁹⁹	Pjetursson et al ⁹⁹ 227
Meredith 5134519.1776Whitman et al 5234318.0577Jensen et al 5333818.7878Davies 5433318.5079Wennerberg et al 5532915.6780Kasemo 563279.9181Jung et al 5732340.3882Wennerberg and Albrektsson 5831539.3883Cochran et al 5931422.4384Lekholm et al 6031218.3585Berglundh and Lindhe 6130615.3086Araújo et al 6230527.7387Berglundh et al 6330223.2388Geng et al 6430220.1389Zarb and Schmitt 6530011.5490Aghaloo and Moy 6629933.2291Isidor 6929314.6594Manicone et al 7029132.3395Tarnow et al 712881896Lazzara and Porter 7228728.797Botticelli et al 7328623.8398Albrektsson 7428510.1898	Sch	Sch	nroeder et al ⁵⁰	350	10	75		Piattelli et al ¹⁰⁰	Piattelli et al ¹⁰⁰ 223
Whitman et al 12^{52} 343 18.05 77 Jensen et al 15^3 338 18.78 78 Davies 5^4 333 18.50 79 Wennerberg et al 55 329 15.67 80 Kasemo 56 327 9.91 81 Jung et al 57 323 40.38 82 Wennerberg and Albrektsson 315 39.38 83 Cochran et al 59 314 22.43 84 Lekholm et al 60 312 18.35 85 Berglundh and Lindhe 306 15.30 86 Araújo et al 62 305 27.73 87 Berglundh et al 63 302 20.13 89 Zarb and Schmitt 65 300 11.54 90 Aghaloo and Moy 299 33.22 91 Isidor 69 293 14.65 94 Manicone et al 70 291 32.33 95 Tarnow et al 71 288 18 96 Lazzara and Porter 287 28.7 97 Botticelli et al 73 286 23.83 98 Albrektsson 74 285 10.18 98	Me	Me	redith ⁵¹	345	19.17	76		Kent and Block ¹⁰¹	Kent and Block ¹⁰¹ 221
Jensen et al 53 33818.7878Davies33318.5079Wennerberg et al 55 32915.6780Kasemo3279.9181Jung et al 57 32340.3882Wennerberg and Albrektsson 58 31539.3883Cochran et al 59 31422.4384Lekholm et al 60 31218.3585Berglundh and Lindhe 61 30615.3086Araújo et al 62 30527.7387Berglundh et al 63 30220.1389Zarb and Schmitt 65 30011.5490Aghaloo and Moy 66 29933.2291Roberts et al 67 2999.3492Lindhe and Meyle 68 29837.2593Isidor 69 29132.3395Tarnow et al 71 2881896Lazzara and Porter 72 28728.797Botticelli et al 73 28623.8398Albrektsson 74 28510.1898	Wh	Wh	itman et al ⁵²	343	18.05	77		Choquet et al ¹⁰²	Choquet et al ¹⁰² 219
Davies 333 18.5079Wennerberg et al 323 15.6780Kasemo 327 9.9181Jung et al 327 9.9181Jung et al 323 40.3882Wennerberg and Albrektsson 315 39.3883Cochran et al 59 314 22.4384Lekholm et al 60 312 18.3585Berglundh and Lindhe 306 15.3086Araújo et al 62 305 27.7387Berglundh et al 302 23.2388Geng et al 64 302 20.1389Zarb and Schmitt 65 300 11.5490Aghaloo and Moy 299 33.22 9191Roberts et al 67 299 9.34 92Lindhe and Meyle 68 298 37.25 93Isidor 69 293 14.6594Manicone et al 70 291 32.33 95Tarnow et al 71 288 1896Lazzara and Porter 287 28.7 97Botticelli et al 73 286 23.83 98Albrektsson 74 285 10.1898	Jen	Jer	sen et al ⁵³	338	18.78	78		Fontijn-Tekamp et al ¹⁰³	Fontiin-Tekamp et al ¹⁰³ 217
Wennerberg et al 155 329 15.67 80 Kasemo 327 9.91 81 Jung et al 57 323 40.38 82 Wennerberg and Albrektsson 315 39.38 83 Cochran et al 59 314 22.43 84 Lekholm et al 60 312 18.35 85 Berglundh and Lindhe 306 15.30 86 Araújo et al 62 305 27.73 87 Berglundh et al 63 302 23.23 88 Geng et al 64 302 20.13 89 Zarb and Schmitt 65 300 11.54 90 Aghaloo and Moy 66 299 33.22 91 Roberts et al 67 299 9.34 92 Lindhe and Meyle 68 298 37.25 93 Isidor 69 293 14.65 94 Manicone et al 70 291 32.33 95 Tarnow et al 71 286 23.83 98 Albrektsson 74 285 10.18 98	Dav	Da	vies ⁵⁴	333	18.50	79	1	Brånemark et al ¹⁰⁴	Brånemark et al ¹⁰⁴ 217
Kasemo ⁵⁶ 3279.9181Jung et al S732340.3882Wennerberg and Albrektsson ⁵⁸ 31539.3883Cochran et al S931422.4384Lekholm et al 6031218.3585Berglundh and Lindhe 6130615.3086Araújo et al 6230527.7387Berglundh et al 6330223.2388Geng et al 6430220.1389Zarb and Schmitt 6530011.5490Aghaloo and Moy 6629933.2291Roberts et al 672999.3492Lindhe and Meyle 6829837.2593Isidor 6929132.3395Manicone et al 7029132.3395Manicone et al 712881896Lazzara and Porter 7228728.797Botticelli et al 7328623.8398Albrektsson 7428510.1894	We	We	nnerberg et al ⁵⁵	329	15.67	80	I	Roberts et al ¹⁰⁵	Roberts et al ¹⁰⁵ 217
Jung et al 01 01 01 01 Jung et al 157 323 40.38 82 11 Wennerberg and Albrektsson 315 39.38 83 11 Cochran et al 159 314 22.43 84 41 Lekholm et al 60 312 18.35 85 11 Berglundh and Lindhe 306 15.30 86 11 Araújo et al 62 305 27.73 87 87 Berglundh et al 63 302 23.23 88 11 Geng et al 64 302 20.13 89 11 Zarb and Schmitt 65 300 11.54 90 11 Aghaloo and Moy 66 299 33.22 91 11 Roberts et al 67 299 9.34 92 11 Lindhe and Meyle 68 298 37.25 93 41 Manicone et al 70 291 32.33 95 11 Manicone et al 71 288 18 96 01 Lazzara and Porter 22 287 28.7 97 87 Botticelli et al 73 286 23.83 98 01 Albrektsson 74 285 10.18 98 01	Ka	Ka	semo ⁵⁶	327	9.91	81	1	Roos-lansåker et al ¹⁰⁶	Roos-lansåker et al ¹⁰⁶ 216
Wennerberg and Albrektsson 32.5 40.55 40.55 Wennerberg and Albrektsson 31.5 39.38 83 14 Lekholm et al 15.9 31.4 22.43 84 4 Lekholm et al 60 31.2 18.35 85 85 Berglundh and Lindhe 306 15.30 86 4 Araújo et al 62 305 27.73 87 55 Berglundh et al 63 302 23.23 88 11 Geng et al 64 302 20.13 89 10 Zarb and Schmitt 65 300 11.54 90 10 Aghaloo and Moy 66 299 33.22 91 11 Roberts et al 67 299 9.34 92 14 Lindhe and Meyle 68 298 37.25 93 44 Manicone et al 70 291 32.33 95 14 Manicone et al 71 288 18 96 00 Lazzara and Porter 287 28.7 97 87 Botticelli et al 73 286 23.83 98 00 Albrektsson 74 285 10.18 98 00	lun	lur	g et al ⁵⁷	323	40.38	82	ļ	Kan et al ¹⁰⁷	$(an et a)^{107} 216$
Cochran et alS10S10S10S10S10S10S10Cochran et al 59 31422.4384Lekholm et al 60 31218.3585Berglundh and Lindhe30615.3086Araújo et al 62 30527.7387Berglundh et al 63 30223.2388Geng et al 64 30220.1389Zarb and Schmitt 65 30011.5490Aghaloo and Moy 66 29933.2291Roberts et al 67 2999.3492Lindhe and Meyle 68 29837.2593Isidor 69 29314.6594Manicone et al 70 29132.3395Lazzara and Porter28728728.797Botticelli et al 73 28623.83980Albrektsson 74 28510.1894	We	We	nnerherg and Albrektsson ⁵⁸	315	30 28	83	1	l ascala et al ¹⁰⁸	Lascala et al ¹⁰⁸ 215
Cooline et alS1422.43S44Lekholm et al 60 312 18.35 85Berglundh and Lindhe 306 15.30 86Araújo et al 62 305 27.73 87Berglundh et al 63 302 23.23 88Geng et al 64 302 20.13 89Zarb and Schmitt 65 300 11.54 90Aghaloo and Moy 66 299 33.22 91Roberts et al 67 299 9.34 92Lindhe and Meyle 68 298 37.25 93Isidor 69 293 14.65 94Manicone et al 70 291 32.33 95Tarnow et al 71 288 18 96Lazzara and Porter 286 23.83 98Albrektsson 74 285 10.18 98	Cor	Co	hran et al ⁵⁹	31/	22/13	84		Abrahamsson et al ¹⁰⁹	Abrahamsson et al ¹⁰⁹ 214
Lorinom et al 312 16.33 83 Berglundh and Lindhe ⁶¹ 306 15.30 86 Araújo et al ⁶² 305 27.73 87 Berglundh et al ⁶³ 302 23.23 88 Geng et al ⁶⁴ 302 20.13 89 Zarb and Schmitt ⁶⁵ 300 11.54 90 Aghaloo and Moy ⁶⁶ 299 33.22 91 Roberts et al ⁶⁷ 299 9.34 92 Lindhe and Meyle ⁶⁸ 298 37.25 93 Isidor ⁶⁹ 293 14.65 94 Manicone et al ⁷⁰ 291 32.33 95 Tarnow et al ⁷¹ 288 18 96 Lazzara and Porter ⁷² 287 28.7 97 Botticelli et al ⁷³ 286 23.83 98 Albrektsson ⁷⁴ 285 10.18 98			shall et al 60	210	18 25	85		Pandow at al ¹¹⁰	Pandow at al^{110} 213
Berglundin and Lindre ¹⁻¹ 306 15.30 86 Araújo et al ⁶² 305 27.73 87 Berglundh et al ⁶³ 302 23.23 88 Geng et al ⁶⁴ 302 20.13 89 1 Zarb and Schmitt ⁶⁵ 300 11.54 90 90 Aghaloo and Moy ⁶⁶ 299 33.22 91 1 Roberts et al ⁶⁷ 299 9.34 92 1 Lindhe and Meyle ⁶⁸ 298 37.25 93 4 Jsidor ⁶⁹ 293 14.65 94 1 Manicone et al ⁷⁰ 291 32.33 95 1 Lazzara and Porter ⁷² 287 28.7 97 1 Botticelli et al ⁷³ 286 23.83 98 6 Albrektsson ⁷⁴ 285 10.18 98 6	Ler Por	Per	alundh and Lindha ⁶¹	206	15.30	86			Xalidow et al 213 Kiekkovald at al 213
Araujo et al 305 27.73 87 87 Berglundh et al 63 302 23.23 88 1 Geng et al 64 302 20.13 89 N Zarb and Schmitt 55 300 11.54 90 N Aghaloo and Moy 299 33.22 91 N Roberts et al 67 299 9.34 92 N Lindhe and Meyle 68 298 37.25 93 A Isidor 69 293 14.65 94 N Manicone et al 70 291 32.33 95 N Lazzara and Porter 288 18 96 00 Lazzara and Porter 286 23.83 98 00 Albrektsson 74 285 10.18 98 00	Ber	Bei	giunun and Lindness	306	15.30	80 07	r		(IOKKévola et al ¹¹² 213
Bergiundn et also 302 23.23 88 LGeng et al 64 302 20.13 89 MZarb and Schmitt 65 300 11.54 90 MAghaloo and Moy 66 299 33.22 91 HRoberts et al 67 299 9.34 92 MLindhe and Meyle 68 298 37.25 93 AIsidor 69 293 14.65 94 HManicone et al 70 291 32.33 95 HTarnow et al 71 288 18 96 CLazzara and Porter 72 287 28.7 97 PBotticelli et al 73 286 23.83 98 CAlbrektsson 74 285 10.18 96 C	Ara	Ara		305	27.73	8/	5		
Geng et al 2 and Schmitt ⁶⁵ 30220.1389MZarb and Schmitt ⁶⁵ 30011.5490WAghaloo and Moy29933.2291HRoberts et al2999.3492MLindhe and Meyle29837.2593AIsidor29314.6594HManicone et al29132.3395HTarnow et al2881896CHLazzara and Porter28623.8398CHAlbrektsson28510.1898CH	Ber	Bei	glundh et al ^{os}	302	23.23	88	Le	kovic et al	210
Zarb and Schmitt ⁶⁵ 300 11.54 90 WaAghaloo and Moy ⁶⁶ 299 33.22 91 HeRoberts et al ⁶⁷ 299 9.34 92 MiLindhe and Meyle ⁶⁸ 298 37.25 93 AbIsidor ⁶⁹ 293 14.65 94 HeManicone et al ⁷⁰ 291 32.33 95 HeTarnow et al ⁷¹ 288 18 96 ChLazzara and Porter ⁷² 287 28.7 97 PaBotticelli et al ⁷³ 286 23.83 98 ChAlbrektsson ⁷⁴ 285 10.18 96 Ch	Ger	Ge	ng et al ⁶⁴	302	20.13	89	Mo	Allister and Haghighat	cAllister and Haghighat ¹¹⁴ 208
Aghaloo and Moy 66 29933.2291HeRoberts et al 67 2999.3492MLindhe and Meyle 68 29837.2593AkIsidor 69 29314.6594HeManicone et al 70 29132.3395HeTarnow et al 71 2881896ChLazzara and Porter 72 28728.797PaBotticelli et al 73 28623.8398ChAlbrektsson 74 28510.1899Be	Zar	Zar	b and Schmitt ⁶⁵	300	11.54	90	W	etzel et al ¹¹⁵	etzel et al ¹¹⁵ 208
Roberts et al 67 299 9.34 92 NLindhe and Meyle 68 298 37.25 93 AIsidor 69 293 14.65 94 HManicone et al 70 291 32.33 95 HTarnow et al 71 288 18 96 CLazzara and Porter 227 287 28.7 97 PBotticelli et al 73 286 23.83 98 CAlbrektsson 74 285 10.18 99 B	Agh	Agł	naloo and Moy ⁶⁶	299	33.22	91	Н	ermann et al ¹¹⁶	ermann et al ¹¹⁶ 206
Lindhe and Meyle298 37.25 934Isidor14.659414Manicone et al29132.339514Tarnow et al29132.339514Lazzara and Porter28818960Botticelli et al28623.83980Albrektsson28510.189414	Rok	Rol	perts et al ⁶⁷	299	9.34	92	ſ	Misch ¹¹⁷	Misch ¹¹⁷ 205
Isidor ⁶⁹ 293 14.65 94 Manicone et al ⁷⁰ 291 32.33 95 Tarnow et al ⁷¹ 288 18 96 Lazzara and Porter ⁷² 287 28.7 97 Botticelli et al ⁷³ 286 23.83 98 Albrektsson ⁷⁴ 285 10.18 97	Lin	Lin	dhe and Meyle ⁶⁸	298	37.25	93		Abrahamsson et al ¹¹⁸	Abrahamsson et al ¹¹⁸ 204
Manicone et al ⁷⁰ 291 32.33 95 Tarnow et al ⁷¹ 288 18 96 Lazzara and Porter ⁷² 287 28.7 97 Botticelli et al ⁷³ 286 23.83 98 Albrektsson ⁷⁴ 285 10.18 97	Isic	Isio	lor ⁶⁹	293	14.65	94		Hebel and Gajjar ¹¹⁹	Hebel and Gajjar ¹¹⁹ 204
Tarnow et al ⁷¹ 288 18 96 Lazzara and Porter ⁷² 287 28.7 97 Botticelli et al ⁷³ 286 23.83 98 Albrektsson ⁷⁴ 285 10.18 97	Ма	Ма	nicone et al ⁷⁰	291	32.33	95		Heitz-Mayfield ¹²⁰	Heitz-Mayfield ¹²⁰ 203
Lazzara and Porter ⁷² 287 28.7 97 Botticelli et al ⁷³ 286 23.83 98 Albrektsson ⁷⁴ 285 10.18 99	Tar	Tar	now et al ⁷¹	288	18	96		Chiapasco et al ¹²¹	Chiapasco et al ¹²¹ 203
Botticelli et al ⁷³ 286 23.83 98 Albrektsson ⁷⁴ 285 10.18 99	Laz	Laz	zara and Porter ⁷²	287	28.7	97		Park et al ¹²²	Park et al ¹²² 203
Albrektsson ⁷⁴ 285 10.18 99	Bot	Bot	ticelli et al ⁷³	286	23.83	98		Chen et al ¹²³	Chen et al ¹²³ 201
200 IU.IO 33	Alh	ΔIh	rektsson ⁷⁴	285	10.18	99		Recker et al ¹²⁴	Recker et al ¹²⁴ 200
Goodacre et al/5 279 21.29 100 1	God	Geo	$radacre et al^{75}$	200	21.20	100	1	Deutoriaro et al ¹²⁵	$\frac{125}{200}$



The International Journal of Oral & Maxillofacial Implants 557

للاستشارات © 2017 BY QUINTESSENCE PUBLISHING CO, INC. PRINTING OF THIS DOCUMENT IS RESTRICTED TO PERSONAL USAN WW. MANARAA.COM NO PART MAY BE REPRODUCED OR TRANSMITTED IN ANY FORM WITHOUT WRITTEN PERMISSION FROM THE PUBLISHER.



Fig 1 Number of articles and citations per article in implant dentistry.

maintain survival and success rates well above 90% for observation periods up to 8 years" reveals that research interests emphasize the implant success rates and elucidates why this paper remains among the highest cited articles in dental implant literature. The third most cited paper, published in 2005 by Marx et al in the *Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*,²⁸ described 119 cases of bisphosphonate-related bone exposure, and received 698 citations (mean citation rate increase: 63 citations annually) in very few years.

In terms of the citations per year, five articles ranking third, sixth, eighth, 32nd, and 33rd had greater mean growth rate than the Buser et al study²⁷ (rank: 2). The common feature of these articles is the publication year (all published after 2004). Indeed, the paper of Le Guéhennec et al (rank: 6) published in 2007,³¹ which describes the different surfaces and methods that enhance implant osseointegration, collected 570 citations in 8 years, presenting a high citation rate increase (63 citations annually).

The 100 top-cited articles were published in the past 29 years from 1981 to 2009, with 12 published before 1990, 43 between 1991 and 2000, and 45 between 2001 and 2009. Figure 1 illustrates the distribution of these 100 articles over the years and their citations per publication. The three most productive years were 1997 (15 articles), 2004 (11 articles), and 2003 (9 articles). As articles need time to accumulate citations, neither of the most cited articles were published in the most recent 5 years (2010 to 2015). Eighty-eight percent of the most cited articles were published after 1991.

The Journal Citation Reports 2014 indexes 88 journals with citation references under the subcategory "Dentistry, Oral Surgery, and Medicine." The majority of the highly cited articles were published in 18 journals with impact factors ranging from 0.358 to 4.139. *Clinical Oral Implants Research* published the highest number of top-cited papers (n = 32), followed by the International Journal of Oral & Maxillofacial Implants with 14 and the Journal of Clinical Periodontology with 11 (Table 2). The Journal of Periodontology and the Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry contributed 10 and 8 articles to the list, respectively, despite their high impact factors.

The number of authors ranged from 1 to 16. Eight articles were written by a single author and 16 by two authors. Twenty-six and 27 articles were published by three and four authors, respectively, while the other 23 publications were attributed to five or more investigators. A total of 264 authors contributed to the highly cited publications; 217 (82.2%) and 26 (9.8%) of them published one and two top-cited papers, respectively. Table 3 lists the top 21 authors with three or more highly cited papers. Although Niklaus P. Lang had no articles as first author, he was the most cited author with 12 out of 100 articles, followed by Daniel Buser and Tord Berglundh with 10 articles each, Jan Lindhe with 9 articles, and Tomas Albrektsson with 6 publications (Table 3).

Altogether, the 100 highly cited articles originated from 19 countries (Table 4). The United States had the largest number of top-cited publications (n = 29). Sweden and Switzerland published 23 and 17 articles, respectively, whereas Belgium and Italy contributed five articles each to the list. The highly productive institutions appear in Table 5, with the University of Göteborg of Sweden (19 articles) and the University of Bern of Switzerland (13 articles) leading the list. Loma Linda University in California, University of Leuven in Belgium, and University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio produced four articles each. Concerning the collaboration type, 51 articles came from independent institutions, 7 from interinstitutional collaborations within the same university, 14 from multiuniversity collaboration within the same country, and 28 articles were the product of international collaborations.



© 2017 BY QUINTESSENCE PUBLISHING CO, INC. PRINTING OF THIS DOCUMENT IS RESTRICTED TO PERSONAL US WMM. MANARAA.COM NO PART MAY BE REPRODUCED OR TRANSMITTED IN ANY FORM WITHOUT WRITTEN PERMISSION FROM THE PUBLISHER.

Table 2 **Dental Journals in Which the 100 Top-Cited Articles Were Published**

Journal name	2014 journals' impact factor	No. of articles included in the top 100
Clinical Oral Implants Research	3.889	32
International Journal of Oral & Maxillofacial Implants	1.451	14
Journal of Clinical Periodontology	4.010	11
Journal of Periodontology	2.706	10
Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry	1.753	8
International Journal of Prosthodontics	1.464	4
Journal of Oral & Maxillofacial Surgery ^a	1.425	4
American Journal of Orthodontics & Dentofacial Orthopedics	1.382	3
International Journal of Periodontics & Restorative Dentistry	1.415	3
European Journal of Oral Sciences	1.488	2
Journal of Dental Research	4.139	2
Angle Orthodontist	1.225	1
Dental Materials	3.769	1
Dentomaxillofacial Radiology	1.390	1
International Journal of Oral Surgery ^b	1.565	1
Journal of Dentistry	2.749	1
Journal of Maxillofacial Surgery	2.933	1
Journal of the Canadian Dental Association	0.358	1

Table 3 Authors of the Top-Cited Articles				
Author	First author	Coauthor	Total	
Lang NP	0	12	12	
Buser D	6	4	10	
Berglundh T	5	5	10	
Lindhe J	1	8	9	
Albrektsson T	4	2	6	
Cochran DL	2	3	5	
Abrahamsson I	3	1	4	
Pjetursson BE	3	1	4	
Kan JYK	2	2	4	
Wennerberg A	2	2	4	
Lekholm U	1	3	4	
Brägger U	0	4	4	
Rungcharassaeng K	0	4	4	
Schenk RK	0	4	4	
Zwahlen M	0	4	4	
Hermann JS	2	1	3	
Quirynen M	2	1	3	
Tarnow DP	2	1	3	
Meredith N	0	3	3	
Thomsen P	0	3	3	
van Steenberghe D	0	3	3	

^aContinued as Journal of Cranio-Maxillofacial Surgery.

^b Continued as International Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery.

Countries of Origin of the 100 Table 4 **Top-Cited Articles in Implant Dentistry**

Country	No. of articles
USA	29
Sweden	23
Switzerland	17
Belgium	5
Italy	5
United Kingdom	3
Australia	2
Brazil	2
Canada	2
Denmark	2
France	2
Germany	1
Japan	1
Iceland	1
Netherlands	1
Singapore	1
South Korea	1
Spain	1
Taiwan	1

Table 5Institutions of Origin wiMore Top-Cited ArticlesDentistry	Institutions of Origin with Two or More Top-Cited Articles in Implant Dentistry				
Institution	No. of articles				
University of Göteborg 19					
University of Bern 13					
Loma Linda University 4					
University of Leuven 4					

University of Leuven	4
University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio	4
University of Bristol	3
University of California Los Angeles	3
University of Milan	3
University of Zurich	3
Aarhus University	2
New York University	2
University of Toronto	2

The International Journal of Oral & Maxillofacial Implants 559

للاستشارات © 2017 BY QUINTESSENCE PUBLISHING CO, INC. PRINTING OF THIS DOCUMENT IS RESTRICTED TO PERSONAL USAN WW. MANARAA.COM NO PART MAY BE REPRODUCED OR TRANSMITTED IN ANY FORM WITHOUT WRITTEN PERMISSION FROM THE PUBLISHER.

Table 6

Study design	Level of evidence	No. of articles
Clinical		
RCT	(EL 2)	2
Cohort	(EL 3)	6
Case series	(EL 4)	19
Poor quality cohort	(EL 4)	9
Cross sectional	(EL 4)	6
Total		42
Review		
Narrative	(EL 5)	18
Systematic	(EL 1)	7
Total		25
Basic		
In vivo	(EL 5)	19
In vitro	(EL 5)	2
Total		21
Proceedings papers		12

Study Design of the 100 Top-Cited

Articles in Implant Dentistry

Table 7 Field of Study of Top-Cited Articles				
Field of study	No. (%)			
Peri-implant tissue healing and health	30 (24)			
Implant success/failure	24 (19.2)			
Biomechanics	21 (16.8)			
Augmentation procedures and grafts	19 (15.2)			
Implant loading	11 (8.8)			
Surgical aspects	5 (4)			
Esthetics	4 (3.2)			
Orthodontic implants	4 (3.2)			
Prosthodontic aspects	3 (2.4)			
Preoperative imaging CBCT	2 (1.6)			
Impact of patient's general health	2 (1.6)			

Forty-two articles were classified as clinical research, 25 were reviews of the literature, and 21 were basic research projects. The remaining 12 studies were proceedings papers. The most common methodologic designs were uncontrolled case series (19 articles), basic in vivo animal studies (19 articles), followed by narrative review articles (18 articles). Twenty-one observational studies, including nine poor quality cohort, six cohort, and six cross-sectional studies, were identified in the top 100 list. Seven and two papers out of the top 50 were considered as level I or II evidence, consisting of systematic reviews and RCTs, respectively (Table 6). None of the top 100 articles was categorized as a meta-analysis.

560 Volume 32, Number 3, 2017

The top 11 subject areas covered in highly cited papers of implant literature (presented as percentage of all published articles) were peri-implant tissue healing and health (24%; 8% of them assigned peri-implant disease, 5.6% osseointegration, and 4% peri-implant soft tissues), implant success/failure (19.2%), biomechanics (16.8%; including implant surface [10.4%] and implant stability [4.8%]). Furthermore, augmentation procedures and grafts (15.2%); implant loading (8.8%); surgical issues (4%), including immediate implant placement (3.2%); esthetics (3.2%); orthodontic implants (3.2%); prosthodontic topics (2.4%); preoperative imaging, particularly cone beam computed tomography (1.6%); and impact of patient's general health (1.6%) ranged among the top issues (Table 7).

DISCUSSION

The field of implant dentistry is an ever-changing domain with new developments occurring every day. From the late 20th century to the present day, implant dentistry has evolved into an evidence-based clinical science. The purpose of the present study was to identify the most cited articles in the field of implant dentistry in "Dentistry, Oral Surgery and Medicine" journals. According to the definition adapted by the Journal Citation Reports, for the journals included under the subcategory "Dentistry, Oral Surgery and Medicine," the subcategory "covers resources on the anatomy, physiology, biochemistry, and pathology of the teeth and oral cavity. Thus, this category of journals covers a wide variety of sub-disciplines ranging from basic sciences to clinical specialties. Specifically, it includes resources on periodontal disease, dental implants, oral and maxillofacial surgery, oral pathology, as well as on community and public health dentistry, and pediatric dentistry."³

Although the number of times a published paper is cited is not indicative of its scientific value, it displays its influence in the progress of the respective research field. Nonetheless, the number of citations for an article depends not only on its scientific significance, but also on the research field that it covers. Thus, a paper related to cardiology (331 to 3,484)⁵ may have more citations than a paper related to dentistry (326 to 2,050),¹⁹ although both of them have the same scientific significance. The top 100 articles in implant dentistry were cited between 199 and 2,229 times. This range is higher than what was observed in other dental fields such as endodontology in 2011 (87 to 554),²¹ periodontology in 2007 (100 to 346),²² and orthodontics in 2013 (89 to 545).²⁰ The most highly cited articles were published in a variety of journals, 18 in all. More than half (67) of these articles were published in four

© 2017 BY QUINTESSENCE PUBLISHING CO, INC. PRINTING OF THIS DOCUMENT IS RESTRICTED TO PERSONAL USA/VMLY. MANARAA.COM NO PART MAY BE REPRODUCED OR TRANSMITTED IN ANY FORM WITHOUT WRITTEN PERMISSION FROM THE PUBLISHER. major journals: Clinical Oral Implants Research, International Journal of Oral & Maxillofacial Implants, Journal of Clinical Periodontology, and Journal of Periodontology. The first two journals are dedicated solely to dental implant research, while the second ones cover the field of implant and periodontal research.

Consistent with many other citation analyses,9,20,21 the majority of the most cited publications (29%) originated from academic institutions in the United States, which is attributed to the large number of researchers and adequate research budgets for scientific investigation. Although the United States is the leading country in the number of medical research publications, there were an increasing number of highly cited publications (61 articles) by authors residing in Europe. It is also worth noting that only eight articles originating from the United States resulted from international collaborations, while the rest of them were produced either by one institution (14 articles) or by multicentered collaborations (one paper from interinstitutional collaboration and six from multiuniversity collaboration). The University of Göteborg and the University of Bern published five international collaborative articles each.

The most highly cited articles in implant dentistry were in the field of clinical science (42%), which is in accordance with the majority of citation analysis in dentistry¹⁹ and in other medical disciplines, which reports the dominance of clinical rather than basic science articles.^{6,14,17,20} This also reflects the surgical nature of implant dentistry, which emphasizes surgical technique. Contrary to the present results, basic research was leading the top-cited list in the field of endodontics,²¹ whereas review articles were the dominant research type in the bibliometric analysis of cardiovascular literature.⁵ The high percentage (37%) of reviews and proceedings papers among the highly cited papers in implant dentistry might be attributed to the preference of authors to cite the reviewed knowledge instead of the original research articles. In the present analysis regarding the levels of evidence, the majority of articles were of levels IV and V, consisting of uncontrolled case series, narrative reviews, and basic research papers. Surprisingly, there were only two RCTs and seven systematic reviews. Coinciding with the tendency observed in dentistry^{19,20,22} and in other surgical disciplines,^{11,14} in implant dentistry, research with a higher number of citations does not correlate with a high level of evidence.

The fields of study of the highly cited articles normally vary from one decade to another and reflect scientific interests in a certain period. In the present analysis, peri-implant tissue healing and health was the predominant research subject. The majority of studies that fell into this category were studies that evaluated peri-implant diseases, peri-implant tissue

للاستشاران

healing, particularly osseointegration and peri-implant soft tissues. The second most common research area was implant success/failures, including survival rates and complications. Biomechanical topics, including implant surface and stability studies, were represented adequately among the cited subjects and were followed at a small distance by augmentation procedures and grafts. Coverage of loading topics was higher than other fields such as surgical and prosthodontic topics, esthetics, and orthodontic implants. The least extensively cited subjects were preoperative imaging and the impact of patient's general health.

Although the authors tried not to eliminate results of the study by applying the least possible exclusion criteria, the inherent limitations of citation analyses were inevitable. First and foremost, this type of analysis is usually beneficial for older publications, which have the advantage of time and are proceeding in the citation ranking, while recent innovative publications are often omitted.¹²⁶ Indeed, almost half of the top-cited articles (48%) in implant dentistry were published in the past 15 years. According to the present results, a minimum publication period of 6 to 15 years is reguired for an article to accumulate a sufficient number of citations and become citation classics. However, the authors tried to minimize the effect of time by assessing the mean citations per year. They observed that articles published the last 10 years presented high annual citation growth rates. This can be explained by the fact that older and even "true classics" articles are progressively cited less often, since their information is being adopted by the current knowledge through time.

Secondly, only one electronic medical bibliographic resource was investigated, which might have affected the final top list. Indeed, it has been shown that a lot of significant differences exist between different databases.^{127,128} Additionally, the search tools used to gather bibliographic data do not take into consideration selfcitation by a journal or an author or the potential bias of authors who prefer citing articles from colleagues or from the journal in which the paper will be published.¹²⁹ Last but not least, the search of the highly cited work was restricted to journals belonging to the subcategory "Dentistry, Oral Surgery and Medicine." In other words, some influential papers with a high number of citations published in other nondental scientific journals were unavoidably excluded by the methodology used in this investigation. For example, an animal study by Buser et al about the influence of different surface characteristics on bone integration of titanium implants, with 989 citations, was not included, as it was published in a journal categorized in the research area of Engineering and Materials Science. ¹³⁰ For the same reason, a review paper by Szmukler-Moncler et al, which evaluated the effect of time loading and

© 2017 BY QUINTESSENCE PUBLISHING CO, INC. PRINTING OF THIS DOCUMENT IS RESTRICTED TO PERSONAL USAVMAY. MANARAA.COM NO PART MAY BE REPRODUCED OR TRANSMITTED IN ANY FORM WITHOUT WRITTEN PERMISSION FROM THE PUBLISHER. micromotion on the bone-implant interface, was also excluded. In spite of the 353 citations, the paper was published in a nondental journal and unavoidably was not included.¹³¹ Brånemark's influential paper published in 1983 in the *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry* and cited more than 700 times has also been omitted as it lacks an abstract and keywords.¹

CONCLUSIONS

This bibliometric analysis provides insight into the progress and the interesting trends of dental implant research over the last 30 years. Obviously, this is a dynamic list that is changing over time, according to scientific interests and prevalent research tendency that has evolved over the decades. It is interesting that the topics of "peri-implant tissue healing and health" and "implant success/failure" were well represented in the top 100 articles. Clinical science articles published in high-impact specialized journals are most likely to be cited in the field of implant dentistry. It is recommended that dentists who claim expertise in implant dentistry should acknowledge all these important articles on this list. It will also be interesting to see if the growing demand for evidence-based dentistry will influence the quality of implant research articles in the future, and eventually, the top 100 list will include more high-level evidence studies.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors reported no conflicts of interest related to this study.

REFERENCES

- 1. Brånemark PI. Osseointegration and its experimental background. J Prosthet Dent 1983;50:399–410.
- Cheek J, Garnham B, Quan J. What's in a number? Issues in providing evidence of impact and quality of research(ers). Qual Health Res 2006;16:423–435.
- 3. Science Thomson Reuters. http://www.isinet.com. Accessed October 2015.
- Journal Citation Reports 2014. Institute for Scientific Information Web site. http://isiknowledge.com. Accessed 30 October 2015.
- Shuaib W, Khan MS, Shahid H, Valdes EA, Alweis R. Bibliometric analysis of the top 100 cited cardiovascular articles. Am J Cardiol 2015;115:972–981.
- Pagni M, Khan NR, Cohen HL, Choudhri AF. Highly cited works in radiology: The top 100 cited articles in radiologic journals. Acad Radiol 2014;21:1056–1066.
- 7. Yoon DY, Yun EJ, Ku YJ, et al. Citation classics in radiology journals: The 100 top-cited articles, 1945-2012. AJR Am J Roentgenol
- Hui Z, Yi Z, Peng J. Bibliometric analysis of the orthopedic literature. Orthopedics 2013;36:e1225–e1232.
- Shuaib W, Acevedo JN, Khan MS, Santiago LJ, Gaeta TJ. The top 100 cited articles published in emergency medicine journals. Am J Emerg Med 2015;33:1066–1071.

- Khan NR, Lee SL, Brown M, et al. Highly cited works in skull base neurosurgery. World Neurosurg 2015;83:403–418.
- Brandt JS, Downing AC, Howard DL, Kofinas JD, Chasen ST. Citation classics in obstetrics and gynecology: The 100 most frequently cited journal articles in the last 50 years. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2010;203:355.e1–e7.
- Coelho DH, Edelmayer LW, Fenton JE. A century of citation classics in otolaryngology-head and neck surgery journals revisited. Laryngoscope 2014;124:1358–1362.
- Fenton JE, Roy D, Hughes JP, Jones AS. A century of citation classics in otolaryngology-head and neck surgery journals. J Laryngol Otol 2002;116:494–498.
- Joyce KM, Joyce CW, Kelly JC, Kelly JL, Carroll SM. Levels of evidence in the plastic surgery literature: a citation analysis of the top 50 'classic' papers. Arch Plast Surg 2015;42:411–418.
- Boyack KW, Klavans R, Sorensen AA, Ioannidis JP. A list of highly influential biomedical researchers, 1996-2011. Eur J Clin Invest 2013;43:1339–1365.
- Brennan PA, Habib A. What are we reading? A study of downloaded and cited articles from the British Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery in 2010. Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2011;49:527–531.
- Lefaivre KA, Guy P, O'Brien PJ, Blachut PA, Shadgan B, Broekhuyse HM. Leading 20 at 20: Top cited articles and authors in the Journal of Orthopaedic Trauma, 1987-2007. J Orthop Trauma 2010;24:53–58.
- Glanville J, Kendrick T, McNally R, Campbell J, Hobbs FD. Research output on primary care in Australia, Canada, Germany, the Netherlands, the United Kingdom, and the United States: Bibliometric analysis. BMJ 2011;342:d1028.
- 19. Feijoo JF, Limeres J, Fernández-Varela M, Ramos I, Diz P. The 100 most cited articles in dentistry. Clin Oral Investig 2014;18:699–706.
- Hui J, Han Z, Geng G, Yan W, Shao P. The 100 top-cited articles in orthodontics from 1975 to 2011. Angle Orthod 2013;83:491–499.
- 21. Fardi A, Kodonas K, Gogos C, Economides N. Top-cited articles in endodontic journals. J Endod 2011;37:1183–1190.
- Nieri M, Saletta D, Guidi L, et al. Citation classics in periodontology: A controlled study. J Clin Periodontol 2007;34:349–358.
- 23. Jafarzadeh H, Sarraf Shirazi A, Andersson L. The most-cited articles in dental, oral, and maxillofacial traumatology during 64 years. Dent Traumatol 2015;31:350–360.
- Christou P, Antonarakis GS. The 100 most-cited human cleft lip and palate-related articles published in dentistry, oral surgery, and medicine journals. Cleft Palate Craniofac J 2015;52:437–446.
- Oxford Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine. OCEBM 2011 Levels of Evidence. http://www.cebm.net/index.aspx?o=5653. Accessed 30 March 2017.
- Adell R, Lekholm U, Rockler B, Brånemark PI. A 15-year study of osseointegrated implants in the treatment of the edentulous jaw. Int J Oral Surg 1981;10:387–416.
- Buser D, Mericske-Stern R, Bernard JP, et al. Long-term evaluation of non-submerged ITI implants. Part 1: 8-year life table analysis of a prospective multi-center study with 2359 implants. Clin Oral Implants Res 1997;8:161–172.
- Marx RE, Sawatari Y, Fortin M, Broumand V. Bisphosphonate-induced exposed bone (osteonecrosis/osteopetrosis) of the jaws: Risk factors, recognition, prevention, and treatment. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2005;63:1567–1575.
- 29. Jaffin RA, Berman CL. The excessive loss of Brånemark fixtures in type IV bone: A 5-year analysis. J Periodontol 1991;62:2–4.
- Esposito M, Hirsch JM, Lekholm U, Thomsen P. Biological factors contributing to failures of osseointegrated oral implants. (II). Etiopathogenesis. Eur J Oral Sci 1998;106:721–764.
- Le Guéhennec L, Soueidan A, Layrolle P, Amouriq Y. Surface treatments of titanium dental implants for rapid osseointegration. Dent Mater 2007;23:844–854.
- 32. Esposito M, Hirsch JM, Lekholm U, Thomsen P. Biological factors contributing to failures of osseointegrated oral implants. (I). Success criteria and epidemiology. Eur J Oral Sci 1998;106:527–551.
- Buser D, Broggini N, Wieland M, et al. Enhanced bone apposition to a chemically modified SLA titanium surface. J Dent Res 2004;83:529–533.
- 34. Schropp L, Wenzel A, Kostopoulos L, Karring T. Bone healing and soft tissue contour changes following single-tooth extraction: A clinical and radiographic 12-month prospective study. Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent 2003;23:313–323.



© 2017 BY QUINTESSENCE PUBLISHING CO, INC. PRINTING OF THIS DOCUMENT IS RESTRICTED TO PERSONAL USAMMY, MANARAA.COM NO PART MAY BE REPRODUCED OR TRANSMITTED IN ANY FORM WITHOUT WRITTEN PERMISSION FROM THE PUBLISHER.

- Buser D, Brägger U, Lang NP, Nyman S. Regeneration and enlargement of jaw bone using guided tissue regeneration. Clin Oral Implants Res 1990;1:22–32.
- Quirynen M, Bollen CM. The influence of surface roughness and surface-free energy on supra- and subgingival plaque formation in man. A review of the literature. J Clin Periodontol 1995;22:1–14.
- Albrektsson T, Wennerberg A. Oral implant surfaces: Part 1—review focusing on topographic and chemical properties of different surfaces and in vivo responses to them. Int J Prosthodont 2004;17:536–543.
- Berglundh T, Persson L, Klinge B. A systematic review of the incidence of biological and technical complications in implant dentistry reported in prospective longitudinal studies of at least 5 years. J Clin Periodontol 2002;29(suppl 3):197–212; discussion 232–233.
- Tarnow DP, Emtiaz S, Classi A. Immediate loading of threaded implants at stage 1 surgery in edentulous arches: Ten consecutive case reports with 1- to 5-year data. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 1997;12:319–324.
- Anitua E. Plasma rich in growth factors: Preliminary results of use in the preparation of future sites for implants. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 1999 Jul-Aug;14:529–535.
- Buser D, Weber HP, Lang NP. Tissue integration of non-submerged implants. 1-year results of a prospective study with 100 ITI hollow-cylinder and hollow-screw implants. Clin Oral Implants Res 1990;1:33–40.
- Albrektsson T, Dahl E, Enbom L, et al. Osseointegrated oral implants. A Swedish multicenter study of 8139 consecutively inserted Nobelpharma implants. J Periodontol 1988;59:287–296.
- Lindquist LW, Carlsson GE, Jemt T. A prospective 15-year follow-up study of mandibular fixed prostheses supported by osseointegrated implants. Clinical results and marginal bone loss. Clin Oral Implants Res 1996;7:329–336.
- 44. Smith DE, Zarb GA. Criteria for success of osseointegrated endosseous implants. J Prosthet Dent 1989;62:567–572.
- 45. Schnitman PA, Wöhrle PS, Rubenstein JE, DaSilva JD, Wang NH. Ten-year results for Brånemark implants immediately loaded with fixed prostheses at implant placement. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 1997;12:495–503.
- Berglundh T, Lindhe J, Ericsson I, Marinello CP, Liljenberg B, Thomsen P. The soft tissue barrier at implants and teeth. Clin Oral Implants Res 1991;2:81–90.
- Meredith N, Alleyne D, Cawley P. Quantitative determination of the stability of the implant-tissue interface using resonance frequency analysis. Clin Oral Implants Res 1996;7:261–267.
- 48. Miyawaki S, Koyama I, Inoue M, Mishima K, Sugahara T, Takano-Yamamoto T. Factors associated with the stability of titanium screws placed in the posterior region for orthodontic anchorage. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 2003;124:373–378.
- Scarfe WC, Farman AG, Sukovic P. Clinical applications of conebeam computed tomography in dental practice. J Can Dent Assoc 2006;72:75–80.
- Schroeder A, van der Zypen E, Stich H, Sutter F. The reactions of bone, connective tissue, and epithelium to endosteal implants with titanium-sprayed surfaces. J Maxillofac Surg 1981;9:15–25.
- Meredith N. Assessment of implant stability as a prognostic determinant. Int J Prosthodont 1998;11:491–501.
- 52. Whitman DH, Berry RL, Green DM. Platelet gel: An autologous alternative to fibrin glue with applications in oral and maxillofacial surgery. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 1997;55:1294–1299.
- Jensen OT, Shulman LB, Block MS, Iacono VJ. Report of the Sinus Consensus Conference of 1996. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 1998;13(suppl):11–45.
- 54. Davies JE. Mechanisms of endosseous integration. Int J Prosthodont 1998;11:391–401.
- Wennerberg A, Albrektsson T, Andersson B, Krol JJ. A histomorphometric and removal torque study of screw-shaped titanium implants with three different surface topographies. Clin Oral Implants Res 1995;6:24–30.
- Kasemo B. Biocompatibility of titanium implants: Surface science aspects. J Prosthet Dent 1983;49:832–837.
- Jung RE, Pjetursson BE, Glauser R, Zembic A, Zwahlen M, Lang NP. A systematic review of the 5-year survival and complication rates of implantsupported single crowns. Clin Oral Implants Res 2008;19:119–130.
- Wennerberg A, Albrektsson T. Effects of titanium surface topography on bone integration: A systematic review. Clin Oral Implants Res 2009;20(suppl 4):172–184.

للاستشار

- Cochran DL, Buser D, ten Bruggenkate CM, et al. The use of reduced healing times on ITI implants with a sandblasted and acid-etched (SLA) surface: Early results from clinical trials on ITI SLA implants. Clin Oral Implants Res 2002;13:144–153.
- Lekholm U, Gunne J, Henry P, et al. Survival of the Brånemark implant in partially edentulous jaws: A 10-year prospective multicenter study. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 1999;14:639–645.
- Berglundh T, Lindhe J. Dimension of the periimplant mucosa. Biological width revisited. J Clin Periodontol 1996;23:971–973.
- Araújo MG, Sukekava F, Wennström JL, Lindhe J. Ridge alterations following implant placement in fresh extraction sockets: An experimental study in the dog. J Clin Periodontol 2005;32:645–652.
- 63. Berglundh T, Abrahamsson I, Lang NP, Lindhe J. De novo alveolar bone formation adjacent to endosseous implants. Clin Oral Implants Res 2003;14:251–262.
- Geng JP, Tan KB, Liu GR. Application of finite element analysis in implant dentistry: A review of the literature. J Prosthet Dent 2001;85:585–598.
- 65. Zarb GA, Schmitt A. The longitudinal clinical effectiveness of osseointegrated dental implants: The Toronto study. Part III: Problems and complications encountered. J Prosthet Dent 1990;64:185–194.
- Aghaloo TL, Moy PK. Which hard tissue augmentation techniques are the most successful in furnishing bony support for implant placement? Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 2007;22(suppl):49–70.
- Roberts WE, Smith RK, Zilberman Y, Mozsary PG, Smith RS. Osseous adaptation to continuous loading of rigid endosseous implants. Am J Orthod 1984;86:95–111.
- Lindhe J, Meyle J, Group D of European Workshop on Periodontology. Peri-implant diseases: Consensus Report of the Sixth European Workshop on Periodontology. J Clin Periodontol 2008;35(suppl 8):282–285.
- Isidor F. Loss of osseointegration caused by occlusal load of oral implants. A clinical and radiographic study in monkeys. Clin Oral Implants Res 1996;7:143–152.
- Manicone PF, Rossi Iommetti P, Raffaelli L. An overview of zirconia ceramics: Basic properties and clinical applications. J Dent 2007;35:819–826.
- Tarnow DP, Cho SC, Wallace SS. The effect of inter-implant distance on the height of inter-implant bone crest. J Periodontol 2000;71:546–549.
- Lazzara RJ, Porter SS. Platform switching: A new concept in implant dentistry for controlling postrestorative crestal bone levels. Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent 2006;26:9–17.
- Botticelli D, Berglundh T, Lindhe J. Hard-tissue alterations following immediate implant placement in extraction sites. J Clin Periodontol 2004;31:820–828.
- 74. Albrektsson T. A multicenter report on osseointegrated oral implants. J Prosthet Dent 1988;60:75–84.
- Goodacre CJ, Bernal G, Rungcharassaeng K, Kan JY. Clinical complications with implants and implant prostheses. J Prosthet Dent 2003;90:121–132.
- Cochran DL, Hermann JS, Schenk RK, Higginbottom FL, Buser D. Biologic width around titanium implants. A histometric analysis of the implanto-gingival junction around unloaded and loaded nonsubmerged implants in the canine mandible. J Periodontol 1997;68:186–198.
- Berglundh T, Lindhe J. Healing around implants placed in bone defects treated with Bio-Oss. An experimental study in the dog. Clin Oral Implants Res 1997;8:117–124.
- 78. Zitzmann NU, Berglundh T. Definition and prevalence of peri-implant diseases. J Clin Periodontol 2008;35(suppl 8):286–291.
- Buser D, Martin W, Belser UC. Optimizing esthetics for implant restorations in the anterior maxilla: Anatomic and surgical considerations. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 2004;19(suppl):43–61.
- Quirynen M, De Soete M, van Steenberghe D. Infectious risks for oral implants: A review of the literature. Clin Oral Implants Res 2002;13:1–19.
- Zitzmann NU, Naef R, Schärer P. Resorbable versus nonresorbable membranes in combination with Bio-Oss for guided bone regeneration. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 1997;12:844–852.
- Goodacre CJ, Kan JY, Rungcharassaeng K. Clinical complications of osseointegrated implants. J Prosthet Dent 1999;81:537–552.
- Del Fabbro M, Testori T, Francetti L, Weinstein R. Systematic review of survival rates for implants placed in the grafted maxillary sinus. Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent 2004;24:565–577.

The International Journal of Oral & Maxillofacial Implants 563

© 2017 BY QUINTESSENCE PUBLISHING CO, INC. PRINTING OF THIS DOCUMENT IS RESTRICTED TO PERSONAL US WWW. MANARAA.COM NO PART MAY BE REPRODUCED OR TRANSMITTED IN ANY FORM WITHOUT WRITTEN PERMISSION FROM THE PUBLISHER.

- Buser D, Dula K, Hirt HP, Schenk RK. Lateral ridge augmentation using autografts and barrier membranes: A clinical study with 40 partially edentulous patients. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 1996;54:420–432.
- Abrahamsson I, Berglundh T, Wennström J, Lindhe J. The peri-implant hard and soft tissues at different implant systems. A comparative study in the dog. Clin Oral Implants Res 1996;7:212–219.
- Sánchez AR, Sheridan PJ, Kupp LI. Is platelet-rich plasma the perfect enhancement factor? A current review. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 2003;18:93–103.
- Gapski R, Wang HL, Mascarenhas P, Lang NP. Critical review of immediate implant loading. Clin Oral Implants Res 2003;14:515–527.
- Pjetursson BE, Brägger U, Lang NP, Zwahlen M. Comparison of survival and complication rates of tooth-supported fixed dental prostheses (FDPs) and implant-supported FDPs and single crowns (SCs). Clin Oral Implants Res 2007;18(suppl 3):97–113.
- Hermann JS, Cochran DL, Nummikoski PV, Buser D. Crestal bone changes around titanium implants. A radiographic evaluation of unloaded nonsubmerged and submerged implants in the canine mandible. J Periodontol 1997;68:1117–1130.
- Meredith N, Book K, Friberg B, Jemt T, Sennerby L. Resonance frequency measurements of implant stability in vivo. A cross-sectional and longitudinal study of resonance frequency measurements on implants in the edentulous and partially dentate maxilla. Clin Oral Implants Res 1997;8:226–233.
- Chiapasco M, Gatti C, Rossi E, Haefliger W, Markwalder TH. Implantretained mandibular overdentures with immediate loading. A retrospective multicenter study on 226 consecutive cases. Clin Oral Implants Res 1997;8:48–57.
- 92. Karoussis IK, Salvi GE, Heitz-Mayfield LJ, Brägger U, Hämmerle CH, Lang NP. Long-term implant prognosis in patients with and without a history of chronic periodontitis: A 10-year prospective cohort study of the ITI Dental Implant System. Clin Oral Implants Res 2003;14:329–339.
- Albrektsson T, Wennerberg A. Oral implant surfaces: Part 2—review focusing on clinical knowledge of different surfaces. Int J Prosthodont 2004;17:544–564.
- Kan JY, Rungcharassaeng K, Lozada J. Immediate placement and provisionalization of maxillary anterior single implants: 1-year prospective study. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 2003;18:31–39.
- Cheng SJ, Tseng IY, Lee JJ, Kok SH. A prospective study of the risk factors associated with failure of mini-implants used for orthodontic anchorage. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 2004;19:100–106.
- Pjetursson BE, Tan WC, Zwahlen M, Lang NP. A systematic review of the success of sinus floor elevation and survival of implants inserted in combination with sinus floor elevation. J Clin Periodontol 2008;35(suppl 8):216–240.
- 97. Teughels W, Van Assche N, Sliepen I, Quirynen M. Effect of material characteristics and/or surface topography on biofilm development. Clin Oral Implants Res 2006;17(suppl 2):68–81.
- Mericske-Stern R, Steinlin Schaffner T, Marti P, Geering AH. Periimplant mucosal aspects of ITI implants supporting overdentures. A five-year longitudinal study. Clin Oral Implants Res 1994;5:9–18.
- 99. Pjetursson BE, Tan K, Lang NP, Brägger U, Egger M, Zwahlen M. A systematic review of the survival and complication rates of fixed partial dentures (FPDs) after an observation period of at least 5 years. Clin Oral Implants Res 2004;15:625–642.
- 100. Piattelli M, Favero GA, Scarano A, Orsini G, Piattelli A. Bone reactions to anorganic bovine bone (Bio-Oss) used in sinus augmentation procedures: A histologic long-term report of 20 cases in humans. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 1999;14:835–840.
- Kent JN, Block MS. Simultaneous maxillary sinus floor bone grafting and placement of hydroxylapatite-coated implants. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 1989;47:238–242.
- 102. Choquet V, Hermans M, Adriaenssens P, Daelemans P, Tarnow DP, Malevez C. Clinical and radiographic evaluation of the papilla level adjacent to single-tooth dental implants. A retrospective study in the maxillary anterior region. J Periodontol 2001;72:1364–1371.
- Fontijn-Tekamp FA, Slagter AP, Van Der Bilt A, et al. Biting and chewing in overdentures, full dentures, and natural dentitions. J Dent Res 2000;79:1519–1524.
- 104. Brånemark PI, Svensson B, van Steenberghe D. Ten-year survival rates of fixed prostheses on four or six implants ad modum Brånemark in full edentulism. Clin Oral Implants Res 1995;6:227–231.
- Roberts WE, Helm FR, Marshall KJ, Gongloff RK. Rigid endosseous implants for orthodontic and orthopedic anchorage. Angle Orthod 1989;59:247–256.

564 Volume 32, Number 3, 2017

- Roos-Jansåker AM, Lindahl C, Renvert H, Renvert S. Nine- to fourteenyear follow-up of implant treatment. Part II: Presence of peri-implant lesions. J Clin Periodontol 2006;33:290–295.
- Kan JY, Rungcharassaeng K, Umezu K, Kois JC. Dimensions of periimplant mucosa: An evaluation of maxillary anterior single implants in humans. J Periodontol 2003;74:557–562.
- Lascala CA, Panella J, Marques MM. Analysis of the accuracy of linear measurements obtained by cone beam computed tomography (CBCT-NewTom). Dentomaxillofac Radiol 2004;33:291–294.
- Abrahamsson I, Berglundh T, Linder E, Lang NP, Lindhe J. Early bone formation adjacent to rough and turned endosseous implant surfaces. An experimental study in the dog. Clin Oral Implants Res 2004;15:381–392.
- Randow K, Ericsson I, Nilner K, Petersson A, Glantz PO. Immediate functional loading of Brånemark dental implants. An 18-month clinical follow-up study. Clin Oral Implants Res 1999;10:8–15.
- 111. Klokkevold PR, Nishimura RD, Adachi M, Caputo A. Osseointegration enhanced by chemical etching of the titanium surface. A torque removal study in the rabbit. Clin Oral Implants Res 1997;8:442–447.
- 112. Szmukler-Moncler S, Piattelli A, Favero GA, Dubruille JH. Considerations preliminary to the application of early and immediate loading protocols in dental implantology. Clin Oral Implants Res 2000;11:12–25.
- Lekovic V, Kenney EB, Weinlaender M, Han T, Klokkevold P, Nedic M, Orsini M. A bone regenerative approach to alveolar ridge maintenance following tooth extraction. Report of 10 cases. J Periodontol 1997;68:563–570.
- 114. McAllister BS, Haghighat K. Bone augmentation techniques. J Periodontol 2007;78:377–396.
- 115. Wetzel AC, Stich H, Caffesse RG. Bone apposition onto oral implants in the sinus area filled with different grafting materials. A histological study in beagle dogs. Clin Oral Implants Res 1995;6:155–163.
- Hermann JS, Buser D, Schenk RK, Schoolfield JD, Cochran DL. Biologic width around one- and two-piece titanium implants. Clin Oral Implants Res 2001;12:559–571.
- 117. Misch CM. Comparison of intraoral donor sites for onlay grafting prior to implant placement. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 1997;12:767–776.
- Abrahamsson I, Berglundh T, Lindhe J. The mucosal barrier following abutment dis/reconnection. An experimental study in dogs. J Clin Periodontol 1997;24:568–572.
- 119. Hebel KS, Gajjar RC. Cement-retained versus screw-retained implant restorations: Achieving optimal occlusion and esthetics in implant dentistry. J Prosthet Dent 1997;77:28–35.
- 120. Heitz-Mayfield LJ. Peri-implant diseases: diagnosis and risk indicators. J Clin Periodontol 2008;35(suppl 8):292–304.
- 121. Chiapasco M, Zaniboni M, Boisco M. Augmentation procedures for the rehabilitation of deficient edentulous ridges with oral implants. Clin Oral Implants Res 2006;17(suppl 2):136–159.
- Park HS, Jeong SH, Kwon OW. Factors affecting the clinical success of screw implants used as orthodontic anchorage. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 2006;130:18–25.
- Chen ST, Wilson TG Jr, Hämmerle CH. Immediate or early placement of implants following tooth extraction: Review of biologic basis, clinical procedures, and outcomes. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 2004;19(suppl):12–25.
- 124. Becker W, Becker BE, Caffesse R. A comparison of demineralized freeze-dried bone and autologous bone to induce bone formation in human extraction sockets. J Periodontol 1994;65:1128–1133.
- 125. Pontoriero R, Tonelli MP, Carnevale G, Mombelli A, Nyman SR, Lang NP. Experimentally induced peri-implant mucositis. A clinical study in humans. Clin Oral Implants Res 1994;5:254–259.
- 126. Seglen PO. Citation rates and journal impact factors are not suitable for evaluation of research. Acta Orthop Scand 1998;69:224–229.
- 127. Bakkalbasi N, Bauer K, Glover J, Wang L. Three options for citation tracking: Google Scholar, Scopus and Web of Science. Biomed Digit Libr 2006;3:7.
- 128. Falagas ME, Pitsouni El, Malietzis GA, Pappas G. Comparison of PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar: Strengths and weaknesses. FASEB J 2008;22:338–342.
- 129. Dumont JE. The bias of citations. Trends Biochem Sci 1989;14:327–328.
- Buser D, Schenk RK, Steinemann S, Fiorellini JP, Fox CH, Stich H. Influence of surface characteristics on bone integration of titanium implants. A histomorphometric study in miniature pigs. J Biomed Mater Res 1991;25:889–902.
- 131. Szmukler-Moncler S, Salama H, Reingewirtz Y, Dubruille JH. Timing of loading and effect of micromotion on bone-dental implant interface: Review of experimental literature. J Biomed Mater Res 1998;43:192–203.



Copyright of International Journal of Oral & Maxillofacial Implants is the property of Quintessence Publishing Company Inc. and its content may not be copied or emailed to multiple sites or posted to a listserv without the copyright holder's express written permission. However, users may print, download, or email articles for individual use.

